FILED

OCT 2 8 2010

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Clerk, U.S. District & Bankruptcy Courts for the District of Columbia

KEDIST GIRMA,)	
Plaintiff,)	
٧.) Civil Action No.	10 1827
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOUR,)	
Defendant.)	

MEMORANDUM OPINION

This matter comes before the court on review of plaintiff's application to proceed *in* forma pauperis and prosecivil complaint. The court will grant the application, and dismiss the complaint.

The court must dismiss a complaint if it is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(E)(1)(B). In *Neitzke v. Williams*, 490 U.S. 319 (1989), the Supreme Court states that the trial court has the authority to dismiss not only claims based on an indisputably meritless legal theory, but also claims whose factual contentions are clearly baseless. Claims describing fantastic or delusional scenarios fall into the category of cases whose factual contentions are clearly baseless. *Id.* at 328. The trial court has the discretion to decide whether a complaint is frivolous, and such finding is appropriate when the facts alleged are irrational or wholly incredible. *Denton v. Hernandez*, 504 U.S. 25, 33 (1992).

Plaintiff alleges that she has been "stalked by government helicopter and airplanes



4

for the past six years . . . to [her] places of work and housing." Compl. at 1. In addition, plaintiff she alleges that her employment and medical records have been deleted or otherwise falsified. *See id.* Although she has "submitted numerous complaints with the DC Superior Court . . . when [she] attempted to submit a case in Federal Court[,] a federal

judged prevented [her] from doing so." Id. Plaintiff does not demand any relief.

The court is mindful that complaints filed by *pro se* litigants are held to less stringent standards than those applied to formal pleadings drafted by lawyers. *See Haines v. Kerner*, 404 U.S. 519, 520 (1972). Having reviewed plaintiff's complaint, the court concludes that its factual contentions are baseless and wholly incredible. For this reason, the complaint is frivolous and must be dismissed. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i).

An Order consistent with this Memorandum Opinion is issued separately.

United States District Judge

DATE:

الما تعاده